



The European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products  
*Evaluation of Medicines for Human Use*

London, 18 June 2003  
CPMP/BWP/1793/02

**COMMITTEE FOR PROPRIETARY MEDICINAL PRODUCTS  
(CPMP)**

**NOTE FOR GUIDANCE ON THE USE OF BOVINE SERUM IN THE  
MANUFACTURE OF HUMAN BIOLOGICAL MEDICINAL PRODUCTS**

<b>DISCUSSION IN THE BIOTECHNOLOGY WORKING PARTY</b>	September 2001 – March 2002
<b>TRANSMISSION TO CPMP</b>	March 2002
<b>RELEASE FOR CONSULTATION</b>	April 2002
<b>DEADLINE FOR COMMENTS</b>	October 2002
<b>DISCUSSION IN THE BIOTECHNOLOGY WORKING PARTY</b>	November 2002- June 2003
<b>TRANSMISSION TO CPMP</b>	June 2003
<b>ADOPTION BY CPMP</b>	July 2003
<b>DATE FOR COMING INTO OPERATION</b>	1 October 2003

**NOTE FOR GUIDANCE ON THE USE OF BOVINE SERUM IN THE  
MANUFACTURE OF HUMAN BIOLOGICAL MEDICINAL PRODUCTS**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>1.</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE OF THE NOTE FOR GUIDANCE .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>TYPES AND SOURCE OF SERUM.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3.</b>	<b>PREPARATION OF BATCHES.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>TESTING FOR ADVENTITIOUS AGENTS .....</b>	<b>5</b>
5.1	Bacterial and fungal sterility tests .....	5
5.2	Tests for the presence of mollicutes .....	5
5.3	Tests for the presence of viral contaminants .....	5
5.3.1	General tests .....	5
5.3.2	Tests for specified viruses.....	5
5.3.3	Recommendation for bovine viral diarrhoea virus (BVDV).....	6
5.3.4	Serum inhibitory test .....	6
5.3.5	Other bovine viruses.....	6
<b>6.</b>	<b>TESTS FOR TOXICITY-CELL GROWTH.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>7.</b>	<b>VIRAL INACTIVATION .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>8.</b>	<b>REGULATORY IMPLICATIONS.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>9.</b>	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>7</b>

## **1. Introduction and Scope of the Note for Guidance**

The CPMP/CVMP Note for Guidance on minimising the risk of transmitting animal spongiform encephalopathy agents via human and veterinary medicinal products ('TSE Note for Guidance', *ref. 1*) recommends that when a manufacturer has a choice between the use of ruminant or non-ruminant materials, the use of non-ruminant material is preferred. However, it is recognised that some material of ruminant origin, viz. bovine serum, may be an essential ingredient of the culture media of cells used in the production of many medicinal biological products.

The potential presence of extraneous agents in bovine serum represents a risk to the safety of the biological medicinal product and in this Note for Guidance emphasis is made on the control of viral contaminants. Nonetheless, the quality of the serum used has to be considered in terms of other deleterious effects, in particular on the growth of the cells used for production.

This Note for Guidance outlines the general principles, which should be applied to the control of the quality and safety of bovine serum used during the manufacture of human biological medicinal products including vaccines and biotech products. This includes serum used directly during production cell growth and serum used during cell growth prior to a production phase, for example in the growth of cells prior to vaccine production. Serum that is used minimally, such as in the establishment of a master cell bank, during cryopreservation or during a developmental phase, such as during transfection in the generation of genetically engineered cells, also falls within the scope of this Note for Guidance. This Note for Guidance is applicable to serum manufactured after the date when this Note for Guidance comes into effect. Serum which has been manufactured before this date but which has not yet been used by the serum user, is outside the scope of this Note for Guidance.

Recommendations included in this Note for Guidance can also be taken into consideration when bovine serum is used for diagnostic purposes in the control of a medicinal product, since the quality of the serum included in the nutritive media for cells within a diagnostic procedure can play a major role in the reliability of the results obtained.

Viral safety and other testing may be carried out by the serum supplier, by the medicinal product manufacturer (serum user), by a contract laboratory or by more than one of these. This Note for Guidance is not intended to proscribe which party(ies) should carry out the testing but rather to define the testing which should be performed. It is the responsibility of the marketing authorisation applicant (MAA) of the medicinal product to ensure that testing is carried out to the required standard, as it is the MAA who is ultimately responsible for the safety and quality of the medicinal product. Compliance with the CPMP/CVMP TSE Note for Guidance (*ref. 1*) must be demonstrated.

Notwithstanding the above, efforts should be made to reduce the use of bovine serum or to replace its use with material of non-animal origin.

## **2. Types and Source of Serum**

The most common type of serum used in the manufacture of a human biological medicinal product is foetal bovine serum (FBS). Foetal bovine serum is obtained from foetuses harvested in abattoirs from healthy dams fit for human consumption. Occasionally, there may be use of other bovine sera, such as newborn calf serum (obtained from calves under 20 days old) or donor bovine serum (obtained from animals less than 36 months old by repeat bleeding of donor animals from controlled standing herds.)

For donor bovine serum, the health status of the donor herd should be well defined and

documented. As herd health status for exotic diseases is usually defined through the health status of the country of origin, the Office International des Epizooties (OIE) code should be followed to ensure freedom at source from exotic diseases (e.g. FMD, Bluetongue). As the OIE code does not necessarily consider the emergency use of vaccines (live or inactivated) against exotic diseases, animals from the regions where such vaccination is performed should be excluded from collection. In relation to other diseases of cattle, such as IBR and BVD, the health status of the Donor herd should be well defined and documented when Donor Bovine serum is provided. It is recommended not to vaccinate these herds against BVD.

Serum should be collected according to specified protocols by personnel trained in these procedures. Serum from other source animals (e.g. horse) is also used occasionally. Although this Note for Guidance does not apply specifically to such sera, the principles contained within are applicable.

In the case of serum obtained from abattoirs, it is not usually possible to demonstrate freedom from diseases such as bovine viral diarrhoea. Only if the supplier can certify that all of the source animals originate from farms, which are part of a regional or national control programme for the disease concerned and that there is active surveillance for the presence of the disease, can such a claim be made when supported by appropriate testing of the serum itself.

The traceability of serum from final container back to the abattoir of origin is of prime importance and a clear audit trail must be demonstrable including records of volumes at each stage.

Traceability of serum from final container to farm of origin should be ensured for donor herds used to obtain Donor Bovine Serum.

### **3. Preparation of Batches**

A batch of serum may contain serum derived from any number of animals. Once designated and given a batch number, a batch shall not be mixed with other batches, unless reprocessed and re-assigned. Each batch of serum should be filtered to remove bacteria and mycoplasmas. Appropriate steps should be taken to ensure good homogeneity of the harvested material, intermediate pools/bulks and the final batch. The serum supplier must document the process for harvesting material, the formation and blending of intermediate pools/bulks and the production and processing of the final batch of serum. Compliance with the CPMP/CVMP TSE Note for Guidance (*ref. 1*) must be demonstrated. If a TSE Certificate of Suitability has been issued by EDQM via the process of Certification of Suitability of Monographs of the European Pharmacopoeia, no further data on traceability and methods of harvest need be included in the MAA.

### **4. Certificate of Analysis**

The certificate provided by the serum supplier should state the catalogue number, the batch number, the country of origin of the source animals, the final batch volume, the date of manufacture of the batch and the shelf life. The serum supplier should demonstrate and certify that the serum is exclusively of bovine origin. The content of serum proteins and the physico-chemical properties of the serum will also generally be indicated along with the results of cell growth tests.

No bacteria, fungi, mycoplasma or viruses should ultimately be detected in the final batch of serum. The stage at which definitive testing is performed for the purposes of certification is discussed under Section 5 below.

All tests should be performed preferably in compliance with the requirements of the European Pharmacopoeia; if not, all alternative tests should be validated according to appropriate standards. All operations performed by the serum supplier should be controlled by a suitable quality assurance system such as GMP or ISO 9000 and documented by a suitably qualified person.

## **5. Testing for adventitious agents**

A representative sample from each batch of serum should be tested as follows. Such testing should be performed after filtration of the batch to remove bacteria and mycoplasma but before any steps, which have been introduced specifically to inactivate or remove viruses.

### **5.1 Bacterial and fungal sterility tests**

Each batch of serum should comply with the tests for sterility of the European Pharmacopoeia Monograph.

### **5.2 Tests for the presence of mollicutes**

Each batch of serum should comply with the tests for the presence of mollicutes as described in the European Pharmacopoeia Monograph (tests for Mycoplasma) applied to the culture method.

### **5.3 Tests for the presence of viral contaminants**

Tests for viral contaminants should be carried out prior to any steps taken to inactivate or remove viruses. Consideration should be given to the volume of serum that is to be tested and all tests must be appropriately controlled and validated.

#### *5.3.1 General tests*

General tests for virus detection should be performed on at least two distinct cell lines, one of which should be of bovine origin, and should be validated and in compliance with appropriate guidelines. The cell lines chosen should be capable of detecting haemadsorbing viruses such as parainfluenza virus 3 and cytopathic agents such as IBRV. Control cells should be cultivated with a serum previously shown to be free of virus contamination.

#### *5.3.2 Tests for specified viruses*

Tests for specific viruses will depend on:

- the ability of the general test(s) to detect specific viruses
- the current epidemiological situation in the country of origin.

Specific tests for the following viruses should be considered:

- bluetongue and related orbiviruses
- bovine adenovirus
- bovine parvovirus
- bovine respiratory syncytial virus
- bovine viral diarrhoea virus
- rabies virus
- reovirus 3

The MAA should provide the necessary justification for the range of viruses tested.

Generally, if an infectious virus contaminant is detected in a batch of serum, then the serum should not be used for the manufacture of a human biological medicinal product (with the exception of 5.3.3 and 5.3.5 below).

### 5.3.3 Recommendation for bovine viral diarrhoea virus (BVDV)

BVDV is a highly prevalent infection of cattle and its presence in bovine serum cannot completely be avoided. The presence of BVDV in a batch of serum should be tested before any viral inactivation/removal treatment is performed by an accepted assay for infectious virus. Methods such as RT-PCR can be useful for the detection of virus. However, consideration needs to be given as to whether or not any viral nucleic acid detected originates from infectious particles. The level of contamination, if present, should be quantified. If BVDV is detected, the serum must be re-tested for infectious virus after any inactivation/removal step(s) and used only if no infectious virus is detected, taking into account the comments below on anti-BVDV antibodies and serum inhibition.

Pooled serum is likely also to contain anti-BVDV antibodies and these may mask the detection of BVDV in an infectious virus assay. Therefore, a validated test should be employed to detect such antibodies, and the detection and quantification of BVDV virus should take the level of any anti-BVDV antibodies into account.

### 5.3.4. Serum inhibitory test

Cells, which are permissive for growth of BVDV, should be grown with at least 3 subcultures in the presence of the serum under test after any viral inactivation procedure(s) and used to titrate a reference strain of BVDV. The inactivated test serum should not have a significant (>2 logs) inhibitory effect on the growth of the BVDV reference strain when compared to a previously controlled and treated reference serum.

### 5.3.5 Other bovine viruses

Methods based on the detection of viral DNA suggest that bovine polyoma virus (BPyV) is a common contaminant of bovine serum. Serum manufacturers and users are encouraged to apply infectivity assays for BPyV and to investigate methods for the inactivation/removal of BPyV in order to limit or eliminate infectious virus from batches of serum.

Serum suppliers and users should also be aware of emerging bovine viruses and are similarly encouraged to investigate the presence of such agents in bovine serum and to take appropriate action to eliminate or reduce the presence of any novel virus in serum.

## 6. Tests for Toxicity-Cell Growth

An appropriate cell line should be used for testing each batch of serum for cell growth. The cell line chosen may depend on the intended use of the serum. These tests should be performed using the final batch of serum after any viral inactivation step or other processing.

## 7. Viral Inactivation

Due to the risk of viral contamination associated with the use of bovine serum, it is strongly recommended, in addition to direct testing for viruses, to inactivate the serum by a validated and efficacious treatment. The use of non-inactivated serum should be justified<sup>1</sup>.

Reference should be made to the CPMP Note for Guidance on Virus Validation Studies: the

---

<sup>1</sup> It is understood that the non-inactivated serum meets the same criteria as the inactivated serum when tested for sterility and absence of mycoplasma and viral contaminants.

Design, Contribution and Interpretation of Studies Validating the Inactivation and Removal of Viruses (*ref. 2*). For validation of the inactivation/removal step(s), the rationale for the choice of viral strains must be indicated. Representatives of different viral families (enveloped or non-enveloped viruses, DNA or RNA viruses) and representatives of viruses with different degrees of resistance to the type(s) of treatment used should be included. BVDV should be used in the validation along with a serum batch that is free of detectable antibodies against BVDV.

For validation of inactivation/removal, virus should be spiked into the serum, incubated at 37°C for 1 hour and titrated before the inactivation/removal step is performed. For an inactivation step, kinetics of inactivation should be measured.

Gamma irradiation is the most commonly used method for viral inactivation of serum as a means of obtaining a safe but biologically active product. However, other validated approaches are acceptable. Whatever the process, the validation study has to determine the consistency and effectiveness of the process while maintaining serum performance.

When inactivation by irradiation is employed, the virus validation study should include a validation of the irradiation process:

- a) determine the optimal temperature,
- b) establish a standard packaging configuration,
- c) establish a representative distribution of dosimeters capable of assessing the effective dose reached in the mass of the product whatever its position during the treatment,
- d) determine the minimum and maximum radiation exposure or dose received by the product itself
- e) establish a radiation dose range that protects product integrity while maximising inactivation of microbial contaminants. The validation study must therefore demonstrate the actual dose received throughout the mass of the serum.

## **8. Dossier requirements for Marketing authorisation applications/variations**

All data relating to the content of the certificate required by the serum user, the identification of different sources, the tests and controls carried out on each batch of serum, the name of the company performing the controls, the criteria of acceptance or rejection of the batches either by the serum supplier or the serum user should be included in the marketing authorisation dossier.

A change in serum supplier or a change in any inactivation/removal process should be the subject of a variation for which appropriate comparability data for the drug substance / drug product will be required as appropriate (*ref. 3*). When changing from non-irradiated to irradiated serum or when a new irradiation plant (addition or replacement) is introduced, the variation application should also include relevant information on the virus validation study as mentioned in section 7.

## **9. References**

1. Note for Guidance on Minimising the Risk of Transmitting Animal Spongiform Encephalopathy Agents Via Human and Veterinary Medicinal Products. EMEA/410/01 rev 1.
2. Note for Guidance on Virus Validation Studies: the Design, Contribution and Interpretation of Studies Validating the Inactivation and Removal of Viruses. CPMP/BWP/268/95.

3. Note for Guidance on comparability of medicinal products containing biotechnology-derived proteins as drug substances. CPMP/BWP/3207/00